# Neutrino Oscillation Tomography

(and Neutrino Absorption Tomography)

(and Neutrino Parametric-Refraction Tomography)

Sanshiro Enomoto University of Washington

CIDER Geoneutrino Working Group Meeting, UCSB, 1 July 2014

#### **Everything Shown Here was Taken from:**

Letter of Intent: The Precision IceCube Next Generation Upgrade (PINGU)

arXiv:1401.2046v1 [physics.ins-det] 9 Jan 2014

Letter of Intent: The Hyper-Kamiokande Experiment — Detector Design and Physics Potential arXiv:1109.3262v1 [hep-ex] 15 Sep 2011

and references in there

## Atmospheric Neutrinos





Super-Kamiokande in Japan (10 MeV ~ 100 GeV)



Ice Cube at South Pole (100 GeV ~ )



## Atmospheric Neutrinos and Neutrino Oscillation

Probability of detecting  $v_{\mu}$  after distance L

 $P(v_{\mu} \rightarrow v_{\mu}) \approx 1 - \sin^2 \left( 3 \times 10^{-3} \cdot \frac{L/\text{km}}{E/\text{GeV}} \right)$ 

(w/o matter effects)









## Oscillation Tomography using Matter Effect

#### Neutrino oscillation is affected by Electron Density





D. R. Grant, Neutrino 2014









# Sensitivity to Core Z/A

#### If Density is known, electron density gives Z/A ratio



# <u>Wish List</u>

- ✓ Gigantic Detector (~ Mega ton)
- ✓ Dense Detector (~1 GeV threshold)
- ✓ Good Energy and Angular Sensitivity
- ✓ Normal Hierarchy Preferred ☺
  (antineutrino cross-section is smaller)

#### Atmospheric Neutrino Flux S Super-Kv. S-I Fréjus v. cm<sup>-2</sup> Fréjus v<sub>e</sub><sup>µ</sup> AMANDA v. 10<sup>1</sup> unfolding forward folding IceCube v. € 10 unfolding Ъ IceCube v-induced cascades 10 prompt vur ve 10 Honda v. Bartol v

2

3

6

5



- Ice-Cube is too sparse (Deep-Core detects E >10GeV)
- Super-Kamiokande is too small (total 50 k-ton)

## PINGU: Ice-Cube Upgrade for Lower Energy

Letter of Intent:

The Precision IceCube Next Generation Upgrade (PINGU)

arXiv:1401.2046 (9 Jan 2014)



✓ ~3 M-ton effective volume
 ✓ x20 photo cathode density
 ✓ sensitivity downto few GeV

\$100M, ready in ~5 years

#### Hyper-Kamiokande (Super-K successor)

Letter of Intent:

The Hyper-Kamiokande Experiment

— Detector Design and Physics Potential —

arXiv:1109.3262 (15 Sep 2011)

- ✓ 0.99 M-ton
- ✓ 20% photo coverage
- ✓ few MeV threshold





#### Difference in Number of Events









✓ Pyrolite model can be tested at  $1\sigma$  after 5 years (Normal Hierarchy)

- ✓ Inverted Hierarchy will limit the sensitivity to ~20%,
  "because antineutrino cross-section is half of neutrinos" ...
- ✓ Dependence on  $\theta_{13}$  value is small
- $\checkmark$  Better energy resolution will largely improve the sensitivity

# Hyper-Kamiokande might do it better

- $\checkmark$  Better energy and angular resolutions  $\checkmark$  v  $_{\rm e}$  channel usable
- Smaller active volume??







HK: 1 M-ton water cherenkov

#### Low-energy sensitivity increases effective volume



Thanks to its good low energy performance for upward-going muons, Hyper-K has a larger effective area for upward-going muons below 30 GeV than do cubic kilometer-scale neutrino telescopes (see Fig. 65 in Sec. IIIE). Additionally, fully contained events in Hyper-K have energy, direction, and flavor reconstruction and resolutions as good as those in Super-K. This high performance will



#### Kotoyo Hoshina, AGU Fall 2012







FLATCORE model doesn't conserve Earth's mass, but still useful to estimate the resolution of Earth's density at core angle with the IceCube



#### <u>Appendix:</u> <u>Parametric Enhancement is Sensitive to CMB?</u>



## <u>Summary</u>

•

- · Neutrino Oscillation Tomography
  - Direct measurement of core composition
  - Uses oscillation matter effect (MSW) at  $1\sim10$  GeV
  - PINGU will measure Z/A at ~8% accuracy (NH case), possibly better
  - Inverted hierarchy will limit the sensitivity to ~20%
  - Hyper-Kamiokande might be able to do it better
  - ORCA (KM3NeT, 1.8 M-ton in sea water) can do the same?
  - Neutrino Absorption Tomography
    - Direct measurement of core density
    - Uses neutrino absorption at  $\sim 10 \text{ TeV}$
    - 10 yr Ice-Cube will discriminate core from mantle

# Back Up

## Photo Coverage vs Energy Threshold



D. R. Grant, Neutrino 2014

#### **MSW Resonance**







M. Honda et al, Phys Rev D 70, 043008 (2004)